

Estimates of childhood exposure to online sexual harms and their risk factors

A study of childhood experiences of 18-year-olds in four European countries

France country profile

CONDUCTED BY

ECONOMIST
IMPACT

Executive summary

This WeProtect Global Alliance study, conducted by Economist Impact, explores the experiences of 2,000 18-year-olds across four European countries (France, Germany, the Netherlands and Poland) who had regular access to the internet as children to understand their experiences of and exposure to **online sexual harms**¹ during childhood (see Figure 1 for details on the harms).^{2,3} This profile explores the childhood experiences of the 500 respondents in France.

1. A set of harmful behaviours considered as risk factors for potential or actual child sexual exploitation and abuse online.
2. 'Regular access to the internet' is defined as someone going on the internet (i.e., not watching a friend, family person or other adult use the internet) at least once a week. 'Childhood' is defined as the period before the age of 18 years old.
3. Conducting research on online sexual harms and sexual exploitation and abuse during childhood is challenging. Ethical concerns around surveying children, the risks of retraumatisation and sensitivities around sexuality and gender identity can restrict the survey population and the questions asked.

Experiences of online sexual harms in France

64% of 18-year-olds in France had experienced at least one type of online sexual harm during childhood.

One in two respondents (50%) reported a stranger, a peer or a known adult asking them to do something sexually explicit online that made them feel uncomfortable during their childhood (see Figure 1). While 80% of these experiences happened when respondents were 16 to 17 years old, 12% of respondents experienced this when they were 12 or younger. Similarly, 49% of respondents experienced a stranger or an adult they knew trying to talk to them about or send them sexually explicit material online. These experiences occurred at a younger age: 75% of respondents were 13 to 15 years old when they experienced this and 27% were 12 or younger.

Experiences of online sexual harms happen on mobile devices through private channels: 85% of respondents in France who had experienced someone trying to talk to them about sexually explicit topics experienced this through their mobile phones, and 65% of these occurrences happened through private image or video sharing services. In most of these cases, respondents in France deleted or blocked the person (87%) rather than reporting the problem or speaking to an adult (see Figure 2).


FIGURE 1
Respondents in France's experiences of online sexual harms by type of sexual harm



TWO THIRDS

of respondents' experiences of someone trying to talk to them about sexually explicit topics or send them sexually explicit material occurred on private image or video sharing services.

FIGURE 2
Respondents in France who received sexually-explicit content by type of device and type of platform



The risk-protection gap

79% of 18-year-olds in France believe they could identify potentially harmful content when they were children.

Giving children the tools to recognise threats online and feel comfortable using response mechanisms to report and respond to online sexual harms is key to both preventing and responding to online sexual harms. Across the protection measures considered in this study, respondents had the highest confidence in their own ability to identify messages and/or content that was potentially linked to a harmful or dangerous source. In France, 79% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed with the following statement: 'When I was under 18, I was able to identify a message or content that was potentially linked to a dangerous or harmful source'.

And while seven-in-ten respondents (71%) in France agreed that they had a trusted adult to go to if they received sexually explicit content online, only 57% of respondents said these responsible adults had a good awareness of what the respondents were doing online when they were under 18.

FIGURE 3
Access to protective measures in France
% who agreed with the following statement



Notes: n=500

European comparisons

France has significantly lower reported experiences across three of the four online sexual harms assessed, compared with the other countries studied. Fewer than one-quarter (24%) of respondents in France experienced an adult they knew or someone they did not know asking them to keep a part of their online sexually explicit interactions a secret compared with one-third of respondents in the Netherlands and Germany (33% and 34% respectively).

18-year-olds in France were less likely to experience online sexual harms during childhood than in other European countries.

FIGURE 4
Percentage of respondents who experienced at least one online sexual harm by country




Notes: n=500 per country

49% of respondents in France experienced a stranger or a known adult trying to talk or send sexually explicit material online, compared with an average of 58% across the other three countries surveyed.

Respondents in France were most likely (85%) to have experienced someone trying to talk about sexually explicit topics through their mobile phone, compared with an average of 77% across the other three countries. Occurrences through private image or video sharing services in France was 11 percentage points higher than Germany, the second highest country (65% versus 54% respectively).

FIGURE 5

Respondents' experiences of online sexual harms by type of sexual harm by country




Notes: n=2000, n=500 per country

Respondents in France were most likely to experience someone trying to talk about sexually explicit topics through their mobile phones.

FIGURE 6

Respondents who received sexually-explicit content by type of device and type of platform by country





To learn more about this study and its findings, please visit:

<https://www.weprotect.org/economist-impact-european-survey/>

While every effort has been taken to verify the accuracy of this information, Economist Impact cannot accept any responsibility or liability for reliance by any person on this report or any of the information, opinions or conclusions set out in this report. The findings and views expressed in the report do not necessarily reflect the views of the sponsor.